

# Highlights from ALICE

DPG-Frühjahrstagung Dresden, March 4 - 8, 2013

Klaus Reygers, for the ALICE collaboration

Physikalisches Institut University of Heidelberg, Germany





#### **Ultra-Relativistic Heavy-Ion Physics: Study of Emergent Properties of QCD**

It is a hard problem to determine the properties of water and its phases (ice, water, steam) from the known properties of a water molecule





Philip W. Anderson, Science, 177, 1972, S. 393

#### ALICE | DPG spring meeting | March 5, 2013 | Klaus Reygers 2





source: de.wikipedia.org





#### **QCD in the High Temperature & High Density Sector**

Early universe ( $t \approx 10^{-5}$  s),  $T_c = 150 - 160$  MeV from lattice QCD



baryo-chemical potential  $\mu_B$ 

[reflects the net baryon density]

- Weakly coupled sector of QCD well tested (e.g. with jets)
- Heavy-ion physics:
   Strong coupling at large temperature
- Prediction from first QCD principles (lattice QCD): transition to QGP
  - ▶ *T*<sub>c</sub> = 150 160 MeV
  - $\varepsilon_c \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$

Heavy-ion physics = QCD thermodynamics



#### Towards a Standard Reaction Model: The Hydro Paradigm



<sup>\*</sup> conjectured lower bound from string theory:  $\eta/s|_{min} = 1/4\pi$ Phys.Rev.Lett. 94 (2005) 111601



- Gluons liberated from the nuclear wave function during collision
- Rapid thermalization:
   QGP created at ~ 1 fm/c
- Longitudinal and transverse expansion describable by almost ideal relativistic hydrodynamics  $(\eta/s \approx 0)^*$
- Transition QGP → hadrons
- Chemical freeze-out at  $T_{ch} \approx T_c$  ( $T_c = 150 - 160 \text{ MeV}$ )
- Kinetic freeze-out at
   T<sub>fo</sub> ~ 100 MeV



# Hydrodynamic modeling essential for determination of medium properties, e.g. $\eta/s$

We need to turn all experimental knobs to test and, if necessary, amend the hydro picture





#### **ALICE: Excellent Tracking and Particle Identification**

Example of ALICE's PID capabilities: Identification of anti nuclei up to anti-<sup>4</sup>He



- Robust tracking over
   large p<sub>T</sub> range
   (~ 0.1 GeV/c < p<sub>T</sub> < 100 GeV/c)</li>
- Very good secondary vertex resolution

(e.g. for D and B mesons)

- Excellent momentum reconstruction + particle ID
  - dE/dx, time-of-flight,
     Cherenkov radiation,
     transition radiation,
     E/p from calorimeters



#### **LHC Heavy-Ion Running**

| year | system | energy (√s <sub>NN</sub> ) | delivered<br>int. luminosity |
|------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2010 | Pb-Pb  | 2.76 TeV                   | ~ 10 μb⁻¹                    |
| 2011 | Pb-Pb  | 2.76 TeV                   | ~ 150 μb⁻¹                   |
| 2013 | p-Pb   | 5.02 TeV                   | ~ 30 nb⁻¹                    |

Luminosity reached in 2011:  $2 \times 10^{26}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>



# **Global Event Properties**

# ALICE

#### Pb-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$





11

#### Charged Particle Multiplicity in Pb-Pb at Vs<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV



- ~ 25 000 produces particles in total in central Pb-Pb (full phase space, charged + neutrals)
- Initial energy density

   (for τ₀ = 1 fm/c):
   ε<sub>LHC</sub> ≈ 15 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup> ≈ 3 × ε<sub>RHIC</sub>

$$arepsilon = rac{\mathrm{d} E_T/\mathrm{d} y}{ au_0 \pi R^2} pprox rac{3}{2} \langle m_T 
angle rac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathrm{d} \eta}{ au_0 \pi R^2}$$

Initial energy density at the LHC well above  $\epsilon_c \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ 



#### **π**, K, p Spectra in Pb-Pb at 2.76 TeV: Characteristic Features of Isotropic Radial Flow



# Radial flow

- Fireball pressure creates velocity profile
- Stronger modification of
   *p<sub>T</sub>* spectra for heavier particles

$$p_T^{\text{w/flow}} = p_T^{\text{w/o flow}} + \beta_{T,\text{flow}} \gamma_{T,\text{flow}} m$$

Change of shape of  $p_T$  spectra from pp to Pb-Pb as expected from isotropic radial flow

# ALICE

#### π, K, p Spectra: Comparison to Hydro Models and RHIC Data



- Hydro + hadronic cascade describes data (HKM)
- Average flow velocities (from blast-wave fits)
  - $<\beta_{T,flow}>\approx 0.65 c$
  - ►  $<\beta_{T,flow}>_{LHC}$ ≈ 1.1 ×  $<\beta_{T,flow}>_{RHIC}$

(full hydro modeling gives similar results)

#### Data support hydro picture for QGP phase



#### Particle Yields: The Puzzle of the Small Proton Yields

statistical model:



- Strangeness enhancement in Pb-Pb relative to pp
  - 30% for K/π
  - > factor 3 for  $\Omega/\pi$
- Statistical model describes strangeness enhancement with T<sub>ch</sub> = 164 MeV
- p/π off by factor > 1.5: puzzling, proton-antiproton annihilation in hadronic phase? Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 (2013) 042501

#### Small overall $p/\pi$ ratio puzzling, physical origin to be clarified



# Anisotropic Collective Flow



#### **Anisotropic Flow** Classical view:



#### Identified Particle v<sub>2</sub>: Mass Ordering Supports Hydro Picture



arXiv:1108.5323



#### Hydro Models Describe Identified Particle v<sub>2</sub>



 Shear viscosity reduces differences between expansion velocities and leads to smaller v<sub>2</sub>

• Hydro + hadronic cascade (VISHNU) describes data with  $\eta/s \approx 0.2 = 2.5 \times 1/4\pi$ (color glass condensate initial condition)

 Current systematic uncertainty on η/s: 50% (e.g. modeling of initial state)

# ALICE

#### π, K, p v<sub>3</sub>: **Mass Splitting As Expected From Hydro Flow**



- Sizable v<sub>3</sub> observed
- Sensitivity of  $v_n$  to  $\eta/s$ increases with n



1.5



#### **Event-by-Event** v<sub>2</sub> **Distributions**



 $Pb-Pb\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ 

Direct measure of hydro response to initial energy density fluctuations. Helps constrain  $\eta/s$ .



#### v<sub>2</sub> > 0 at Large p<sub>T</sub>: Parton Energy Loss





# Jet Quenching

#### Hard Probes in Heavy-Ion Collisions

- Hard probes are a useful tool because
  - they are produced in the early stage of a heavy-ion collisions, prior to the formation of the quark-gluon plasma
  - their initial production rate can be calculated with perturbative QCD (",calibrated probe")
- Interplay between
  - Understanding of the mechanism of parton energy loss and
  - Characterization of the QGP







#### Charged Hadron $R_{AA}$ in Pb-Pb at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV



$$R_{AA} = \frac{dN/dp_T(A + A)}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle \times d\sigma/dp_T(p + p)}$$
$$\langle T_{AA} \rangle = \langle N_{coll} \rangle / \sigma_{inel}^{pp}$$
from Claubor calculation

from Glauber calculation

- Expect R<sub>AA</sub> = 1 in the hard scattering regime without nuclear effects (p<sub>T</sub> > 2 GeV/c)
- Suppression by a factor 7 at p<sub>T</sub> ≈ 6-7 GeV/c
- Rise of R<sub>AA</sub> for p<sub>T</sub> > 7 GeV/c indicates decrease of relative parton energy loss ΔE/E with increasing E

A Large Ion Collider Experiment

#### p+Pb at Vs = 5.02 TeV: No Suppression



 $R_{pPb} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}p_T(p+Pb)}{\langle T_{pPb} \rangle \times \mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}p_T(p+p)}$  $\langle T_{pPb} \rangle = \langle N_{coll} \rangle / \sigma_{inel}^{pp}$ 

pp reference interpolated from measurements at √s = 2.76 and 7 TeV

Absence of suppression in p-Pb confirms that suppression in Pb-Pb is a final-state effect



25



#### **R**<sub>AA</sub> for Identified Particles in Central Pb+Pb



- $R_{AA}(p) > R_{AA}(K) \approx R_{AA}(\pi)$ for  $3 < p_T < 8 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Similar p, K and  $\pi R_{AA}$ for  $p_T > 8 \text{ GeV}/c$

ALI-PREL-38283

Leading-parton energy loss followed by fragmentation in QCD vacuum (as in pp) for *p*<sub>T,hadron</sub> > 8 GeV/*c*?



#### Further Important Constraints for Jet Quenching Models from *R*<sub>AA</sub> of Fully Reconstructed Jets



- Significant suppression also for jets (Anti- $k_T$ , R = 0.2)
- Rise of jet R<sub>AA</sub> with p<sub>T</sub> as for single hadrons



#### D Meson R<sub>AA</sub>: Charm Quark Energy Loss Surprisingly Similar to Quark and Gluon Energy Loss



Radiative parton energy loss:



- Strong suppression also for D mesons (which cannot be explained by shadowing)
- Suppression of D mesons and pions surprisingly similar
  - pions mainly from gluons
  - dead cone effect for c and b
- Little indication for expected hierarchy

(however, need to careful consider also the steepness of the initial parton spectra)



#### **Surprisingly Large Elliptic Flow for D Mesons**



- $m_{c-quark} > 250 \times m_{u,d}$
- Not at all obvious that charm quarks take part in collective flow
- Observation
  - ▶ Significant *v*<sup>2</sup> for D mesons
  - Within current errors consistent with charged hadron v<sub>2</sub>



### Charmonium



#### **Quarkonia as QGP Signature:**

#### Suppression at Low $V_{S_{NN}}$ and J/ $\psi$ Enhancement at High $V_{S_{NN}}$ ?

- Quarkonium suppression due to color screening
  - Deconfined matter prevents binding of c anti-c (and b anti-b) quarks
  - Dissociation temperature depends on binding energy
     → "QGP thermometer"



Exact values model dependent, trend stable

- Coalescence picture for J/ψ's
  - J/ψ's from quark coalescences at phase transition
  - Expect J/ψ suppression at low beam energies (SPS, RHIC) and J/ψ enhancement at high energies (LHC)

Braun-Munzinger, Stachel, Nature 448 (2007) 302-309

~ 100  $c\bar{c}$  pairs in central Pb+Pb at the LHC

→ J/ψ through coalescence?





#### $J/\psi$ $R_{AA}$ : Less Suppression at the LHC than at RHIC



- Expect larger suppression at the LHC if J/ψ suppression due to color screening is the dominant effect
- Observation:
  - Weaker suppression at the LHC
  - $J/\psi$  constant at the LHC for  $N_{part} > 75$  $(R_{AA} \approx 0.5 [p_T > 0 \text{ GeV}/c])$

#### Qualitatively consistent with $J/\psi$ coalescence picture



#### J/ψ R<sub>AA</sub>: Stronger Suppression at Forward Rapidities



Expect opposite trend if J/ψ suppression is driven by energy density

Qualitatively consistent with  $J/\psi$  coalescence picture



# Centrality Dependence of J/ $\psi < p_T >$ and $p_T$ Dependence of the J/ $\psi R_{AA}$ Qualitatively Consistent with the Coalescence Picture



Decrease of  $J/\psi R_{AA}$  in central Pb-Pb. Not observed at lower energies or for other particles species at the LHC. Less suppression of J/ $\psi$   $R_{AA}$  at low  $p_T$  at LHC, in contrast to constant  $R_{AA}(p_T)$  at RHIC



#### Significant J/ $\psi$ Elliptic Flow (as Expected in Coalescence Models)



- Indication for  $J/\psi v_2 > 0$ for  $2 < p_T < 4 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Significance: 2.2 σ



### **Thermal Photons**





ALI-PREL-27968

**Conventional wisdom:** 

Direct photons predominantly from early hot QGP phase when flow has not fully built up (then inv. slope T is related to QGP temperature). Expect small direct-photon  $v_2$  in this case.



- Photons reconstructed via e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> tracks from conversion in detector material ( $p_{conv} \approx 8.5\%$ )
- $\pi^0$  spectrum from same photon sample
- $\gamma$ direct :=  $\gamma$ inclusive  $\gamma$ decay
- Excess above decay photons: ~ 15% (for  $1 < p_T < 5 \text{ GeV}/c$ , 0-40% Pb+Pb)
- Low *p<sub>T</sub>* direct photon spectrum exponential with inv. slope parameter  $T = 304 \pm 51 \text{ MeV}$



#### Measurement of the Direct-Photon v<sub>2</sub>



- Measure inclusive photon v<sub>2</sub>
- Subtract decay photon v<sub>2</sub>

$$v_2^{\gamma, \mathsf{dir}} = rac{Rv_2^{\gamma, \mathsf{incl}} - v_2^{\gamma, \mathsf{decay}}}{R-1}$$

$$R=\gamma^{\rm incl}/\gamma^{\rm decay}$$



#### Large Direct-Photon Elliptic Flow: A Big Puzzle!



- Direct-photon v<sub>2</sub> much larger than expected in most hydro models
- Possible solution (van Hees, Rapp)
  - Flow builds up faster than expected
  - Thermal photon rates in hadron gas so far underestimated
  - Large inv. slope of would then result from blueshift:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{slope}} = \sqrt{rac{1+eta_{\mathsf{flow}}}{1-eta_{\mathsf{flow}}}}\,\mathcal{T}$$

#### Large direct-photon v<sub>2</sub> challenges the standard hydro picture



# Heavy-Ion-Like Effects in p-Pb?

#### Per-Trigger Charged Hadron Yields in Low and High-Multiplicity p-Pb Collisions at Vs = 5.02 GeV

Two-Particle correlations: jet-like correlations + X

ALICE, Phys.Lett. B719 (2013) 29-41



Check what remains if one subtracts the jet-like correlation. Implicit assumption: Shape of jet correlation same for both multiplicity classes





#### **Remaining Correlation: Double-Hump Structure**



ALICE, Phys.Lett. B719 (2013) 29-41

- Two ridges along Δη at
   Δφ = 0 and π
- Looks qualitatively like flow signal in Pb-Pb
- Nicely described by v<sub>2</sub> + v<sub>3</sub>
   component
- Intense debate, possible explanations:
  - Hydro flow in p-Pb?
  - Effect of gluon saturation (color glass condensate)?

Understanding the flow-like correlation in p-Pb will provide deeper understanding of flow signals in Pb-Pb

#### Conclusions



- Radial and anisotropic flow
  - Hydro describes general features at low p<sub>T</sub> (spectra, v<sub>n</sub>)
  - v<sub>2</sub> and higher harmonics seem to reflect hydro response to initial energy density fluctuations
  - $\eta/s \approx 0.2 = 2.5 \times 1/4\pi$
  - Large direct-photon  $v_2$  a big surprise and a challenge for the hydro picture
- Jet quenching
  - Surprisingly similar suppression for pions and D mesons
  - More advanced theory needed to extract medium properties from wealth of data
- Charmonium
  - Qualitatively consistent with coalescence of deconfined charm quarks

Comprehensive set of data from first two heavy-ion runs. Future measurements with higher statistics will allow to pin down emergent properties of QCD with high precision.

#### **ALICE Talks at the DPG Spring Meeting 2013**

Mo, 11:00 HK 3.1: Heavy-flavour measurements in the semi-elect. decay channel in pp and Pb–Pb with ALICE at the LHC — • Markus Fasel Mo, 11:30 HK 3.2: Measurement of B meson production in pp at Vs = 2.76 TeV and Vs = 7 TeV via displaced electrons in ALICE — • Markus Heide Mo, 11:45 HK 3.3: Background subtraction techniques for heavy-flavour electrons with ALICE at the LHC — • Christian Alberto Schmidt Mo, 12:00 HK 3.4: Trennung der Charm- und Beautyproduktion in pp- und Pb-Pb-Kollisionen mit ALICE — • Martin Völkl Mo, 12:30 HK 3.6: b-Jet tagging in ALICE — •Linus Feldkamp Mo, 16:45 HK 16.1: Jet Reconstruction in Pb-Pb and pp collisions with the ALICE experiment — • Oliver Busch Mo, 17:15 HK 16.2: Jet fragmentation into strange hadrons in Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE at the LHC — • Alice Zimmermann Mo, 17:30 HK 17.4:  $J/\psi$  measurements in pp collisions with the ALICE apparatus at the LHC — •Jan Wagner Mo, 18:15 HK 16.6 :Correction of detector effects with the HBOM method in event background fluctuations Mo, 18:30 HK 16.7: Triggering on Jets with the ALICE TRD — • Jochen Klein Mo, 18:15 HK 17.7: Low-mass dielectron measurement for pp collisions with ALICE — • Markus K. Köhler Mo, 18:15 HK 22.6: ALICE TRD GTU Online Tracking Performance in Vs = 7 – 8 TeV pp collisions — • Rettig F., Kirsch St., and Lindenstruth V. Di, 14:00 HK 29.1: J/ $\psi$  production in Pb–Pb collisions at VsNN = 2.76 TeV measured with ALICE at the LHC — •Jens Wiechula Di, 14:30 HK 29.2: J/ψ-Hadron Correlations in Proton-Proton Collisions and J/ψ in Proton-Lead Collisions with the Central Barrel of ALICE at the LHC Di, 14:30 HK 35.2: Upgrade des ALICE Inner Tracking Systems und die Auswirkung auf Messungen schwerer Quarks — • Johannes Stiller Di, 14:45 HK 29.3: Perspectives of  $\psi'$  and  $\chi c$  measurements in ALICE — •Steffen Weber Di, 15:00 HK 29.4: Electron Trigger with the ALICE TRD — •Uwe Westerhoff Di, 15:30 HK 29.6: Elliptic Flow of J/ $\psi$  at Mid-Rapidity in Pb–Pb Collisions at VsNN= 2.76 TeV with the ALICE experiment — •Julian Book Di, 14:30 HK 34.2: Exploiting Unused Cluster Resources with Virtualization — • Stefan Boettger and Udo Kebschull Di, 14:45 HK 34.3: Experience Report: System Management at the ALICE HLT Cluster — • Camilo Lara et al. Di, 15:45 HK 34.7: Read-Out Receiver Card Upgrade for ALICE DAQ and HLT — •Heiko Engel and Udo Kebschull Di, 16:45 HK 39.1: pT Spectra of Charged Particles measured in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb Collisions with ALICE at the LHC — • Michael Linus Knichel Di, 17:15 HK 39.2: Pseudorapidity density of charged particles in p-Pb collisions at VsNN = 5.02 TeV measured with ALICE at the LHC — • Jonas Anielski Di, 17:15 HK 40.2: Production of Low Mass Dielectrons in Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE — • Christoph Baumann Di, 17:30 HK 40.3:  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  Meson Analysis via the Dielectron Channel in pp at Vs= 7 TeV with ALICE — • Mahmut Özdemir Di, 17:45 HK 40.4: Prospects of Low-Mass Dielectron Measurements in ALICE with an upgraded Central Barrel Detector — • Patrick Reichelt Di, 18:00 HK 39.5: Average pT in pp, Pb–Pb and p–Pb collisions with ALICE — • Marco Marquard and Philipp Luettig Di, 18:00 HK 40.5: Measurement of direct photons in pp and Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE — • Martin Wilde Di, 18:15 HK 39.6: Event-by-event mean pT fluctuations measured by the ALICE experiment at the LHC — • Stefan Heckel Do, 14:00 HK 62.1: (Anti-)matter and hyper-matter production at the LHC with ALICE — • Nicole Martin Do, 14:00 HK 68.1: Offline Signal Tail-Correction for the ALICE TPC — • Mesut Arslandok Do, 14:45 HK 62.3: Strange particle production in Pb–Pb collisions at VsNN = 2.76 TeV with ALICE at the LHC — • Maria Nicassio Do, 15:00 HK 62.4: Elliptic Flow Measurement of Heavy Flavour Decay Electrons in Pb-Pb Collisions at \$\sqrt{s}\$ 2.76TeV with ALICE — •Theodor Rascanu Do, 15:45 HK 65.7: Central Diffraction in Proton-Proton Collisions at Vs=7 TeV with the ALICE Experiment — • Felix Reidt Do, 16:45 HK 80.1: Status and future of the ALICE TPC, a high-resolution detector for the highest particle multiplicities — • Christian Lippmann Do, 17:15 HK 80.2: First results from the ALICE GEM TPC prototype test — •Piotr Gasik Do, 18:45 HK 80.8: Simulationen zur Gasverstärkung im ALICE-TRD und einem Driftmonitor GOOFIE — • Stephan Dyba





### Extra Slides



#### Large $p/\pi$ Ratio in Central Pb+Pb around $p_T \approx 3.5$ GeV/c



### Radial flow+ quark coalescence? Interplay between jets and expanding bulk (EPOS)? → A challenge to theory

EPOS, K. Werner et al., Phys.Rev. C85 (2012) 064907



#### Charged Particle Multiplicity in Pb+Pb at Vs<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV



#### Initial energy density at LHC and RHIC well above $\varepsilon_c \approx 0.5$ GeV/fm<sup>3</sup>



#### Charged Particle Multiplicity in Pb+Pb at $V_{NN}$ = 2.76 TeV



- ~ 30 000 particles in total in central Pb+Pb
- Power law increase of  $dN_{ch}/d\eta / (N_{part}/2)$ 
  - ▶ p+p: ~ s<sup>0.11</sup>
  - central A+A: ~  $s^{0.15}$
- Initial energy density (for  $\tau_0 = 1 \text{ fm}/c$ ):  $\varepsilon_{LHC} \approx 15 \text{ GeV/fm}^3 \approx 3 \times \varepsilon_{RHIC}$

$$arepsilon = rac{\mathrm{d} E_T/\mathrm{d} y}{ au_0 \pi R^2} pprox rac{3}{2} \langle m_T 
angle rac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathrm{d} \eta}{ au_0 \pi R^2}$$

Initial energy density at LHC and RHIC well above  $\varepsilon_c \approx 0.5$  GeV/fm<sup>3</sup>



#### Similar Charged Hadron v<sub>2</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>) at RHIC and LHC



- $v_2(p_T)$ [LHC] =  $v_2(p_T)$ [RHIC], despite factor 14 increase in  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$
- *p*<sub>T</sub>-integrated *v*<sub>2</sub> at LHC 30% larger due to larger <*p*<sub>T</sub>>



statistical model:

$$n_i = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{E_i - \mu(B_i, S_i)}{T_{ch}} \pm 1\right)} \,\mathrm{d}^3p$$



- Statistical/thermal model
  - two free parameters:
     *T*<sub>ch</sub> and μ<sub>B</sub>
- Strangeness enhancement in Pb+Pb nicely describes with  $T_{ch} = 164 \text{ MeV} \approx T_c$ 
  - 30% for kaons
  - > factor 3 for Ω
- p/π off by factor > 1.5:
   very puzzling,
   proton-antiproton annihilation
   in hadronic phase?

#### Small overall $p/\pi$ ratio puzzling, physical origin to be clarified



#### **Higher harmonics: Centrality Dependence (Charged Hadrons)**



- Weak centrality dependence of v<sub>3</sub> and v<sub>4</sub>
  - Mid-central:  $v_2 > v_3$
  - Central:  $v_2 \approx v_3$

#### Consistent with v<sub>3</sub> from hydro response to initial energy density fluctuations



#### Charged Hadrons: Higher Harmonics (v2, v3, v4, v5)



- Sizeable v<sub>3</sub> observed
- Hydro:
  - Shear viscosity reduces differences between expansion velocities and leads to smaller v<sub>n</sub>
  - *v<sub>n</sub>* depend both on initial energy density distribution and its fluctuations (Glauber MC, CGC) and η/s

# Higher harmonics increase sensitivity to η/s



# Example for the Description of the Identified Particle v<sub>2</sub> with a Hydrodynamic Model



ALI-PREL-10626

Hydro model for QGP phase + hadronic cascade describes  $v_2$  data with  $\eta/s \approx 0.2 = 2.5 \times 1/4\pi$  (with color glass condensate initial conditions)



#### **Higher Flow Harmonics**



ALI-PUB-14119



#### Average Radial Flow From Full Hydro Calculation





#### **Parton Flavor Dependence of** *R***AA**



ALICE | DPG spring meeting | March 5, 2013 | Klaus Reygers 56