Matrix Elements for Fundamental Symmetries

Javier Menéndez

Institut für Kernphysik (TU Darmstadt) and ExtreMe Matter Institute (EMMI)

DPG Früjahrstagung 2013, Dresden, 8 March 2013









Collaborators







P. Klos, A. Schwenk



D. Gazit



A. Poves



E.Caurier, F. Nowacki

Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

Nuclear Structure calculations

Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT

Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei

Summary

Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

- **Nuclear Structure calculations**
- Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT
- Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay
- Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei
- Summary

Symmetries in Physics



Symmetries play a crucial role in our understanding of Nature

They can lead to conservation laws:

- Translational symmetry \Rightarrow Energy-Momentum conservation
- Rotational symmetry ⇒ Angular Momentum conservation

They explain properties of particles:

• Chiral symmetry of QCD \Rightarrow Pions are much lighter than other mesons

Some symmetries predict allowed processes (unless violated)

• Lepton number (L), Baryon number (B), CPT...

And there is new symmetries that have been proposed:

Supersymmetry...



Lepton-Number (L) conservation

Lepton number conserved in all processes observed so far:



Lepton-Number (L) conservation

Lepton number conserved in all processes observed so far:

carbo germanium 76 electron

 β decay, $\beta\beta$ decay...

Uncharged massive particles like Majorana neutrinos (ν) theoretically allow L violation



Neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ (0 $\nu\beta\beta$) decay





Supersymmetry (SUSY)

Supersymmetry (supersymmetric partners for the fundamental particles we know) introduced in extensions of the Standard Model of particle physics They solve purely theoretical

problems (gauge hierarchy)

Supersymmetric particles promising candidates for Dark Matter of our Universe

They would naturally occur in observed Dark Matter densities





Supersymmetry (SUSY)

Supersymmetry (supersymmetric partners for the fundamental particles we know) introduced in extensions of the Standard Model of particle physics They solve purely theoretical problems (gauge hierarchy)

Supersymmetric particles promising candidates for Dark Matter of our Universe

They would naturally occur in observed Dark Matter densities



Testing Symmetries with Nuclei



Symmetries can be test in particle accelerators (LHC)

Experiments with nuclei offer an alternative: Nuclei are abundant in huge numbers $N_A = 6.02 \ 10^{23}$ nuclei in A grams! Lots of material over long times allow to:

- Detect L-violating processes with very small branching ratios
- Measure SUSY-baryon cross-sections even if interactions are weak

Isolate from other processes: very low background (underground)





Matrix Elements for Fundamental Symmetries

To study Fundamental Symmetries we need Nuclear Matrix Elements

$$\langle \, {
m Initial}\, | {\cal H}_{
m leptons-nucleons} | \, {
m Final}\,
angle = \langle \, {
m Initial}\, | \, \int d\!x\, j^\mu(x) J_\mu(x)\, | \, {
m Final}\,
angle$$

- Nuclear structure calculation of the initial and final states: State-of-the-art Shell Model diagonalizations and interactions
- Description of the lepton-nucleus interaction: Evaluation (non-perturbative) of the hadronic currents inside nucleus



DARM

CDMS Collaboration

Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

Nuclear Structure calculations

Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT

Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei

Summary

Nuclear Structure approach





Big variety of nuclei in the nuclear chart, $A \sim 2...300$

Systematic *ab initio* calculations only possible in the lightest nuclei

Poses a hard many-body problem: design approximate methods suited for different regions

Interacting Shell Model:

Solve the problem choosing the (more) relevant degrees of freedom Use realistic nucleon-nucleon (NN) and three-nucleon (3N) interactions Phenomenological adjustments if necessary

The Interacting Shell Model



Chose as basis states that of the 3D Harmonic Oscillator

To keep the problem feasible, the configuration space is separated into

- Inner core: orbits that are always filled
- Valence space: the space in which we explicitly solve the problem
- Outer space: orbits that are always empty

Solve in valence space: $H |\Psi\rangle = E |\Psi\rangle \rightarrow H_{eff} |\Psi\rangle_{eff} = E |\Psi\rangle_{eff}$ where H_{eff} is obtained in many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) includes the effect of inner core and outer orbits





The agreement with experimental spectra is very good!



JM, Gazit, Schwenk PRD86 103511(2012)

Note that show first 10 states out of total $\sim 10^8$ No information about these particular nuclei present in the calculation



Occupancies of ⁷⁶Ge, ⁷⁶Se

Experimental occupancies are well described!



Experiment: Schiffer et al. PRL100 112501(2009), Kay et al. PRC79 021301(2009) Theory: JM, Caurier, Nowacki, Poves PRC80 048501 (2009) 12/29

Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

Nuclear Structure calculations

Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT

Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei

Summary

Forces and Currents in Chiral EFT



Chiral EFT: low energy approach to QCD for nuclear structure energies Approximate chiral symmetry of QCD: pions pseudo-Goldstone bosons Short-range couplings are fitted to experiment once

Systematic expansion: nuclear forces and electroweak currents





Same NN and 3N couplings

Park et al. PRC67 055206(2003)

Weinberg, van Kolck, Savage, Epelbaum, Kaiser, Meißner...



Hadronic currents in chiral EFT

At lowest orders Q^0 and Q^2 there is one-body (1b) currents

Same expressions obtained using phenomenological arguments



Al order Q^3 chiral EFT predicts contributions from two-body (2b) currents

Reflect interactions between nuclei

Long-range currents dominant Relevant momentum transfers $\sim m_{\pi}$ 14/29



Two-body currents in light nuclei



Two-body currents needed to reproduce data in light nuclei:

³H β decay Gazit, Quaglioni, Navrátil PRL103 102502(2009) \Longrightarrow

⁶He β decay Vaintraub, Barnea, Gazit PRC79 065501(2009)

³Η μ capture Gazit PLB666 472(2008) Marcucci et al. PRC83 014002(2011)



2b current contributions ~ few % in light nuclei ($Q \sim \sqrt{BEm}$) 2b currents order $Q^3 \Rightarrow$ larger effect in medium-mass nuclei ($Q \sim k_F$)

15 / 29

Normal-ordered 2b currents



Approximate 2b currents in medium-mass nuclei taking normal-ordered 1-body approximation with respect to Fermi gas,

Sum over one nucleon, direct and the exchange terms



 \Rightarrow **J**^{eff}_{*n*,2*b*}, normal-ordered (effective) one-body current

 $\mathbf{J}_{n,2b}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ depends on chiral EFT couplings c_3, c_4 known, with uncertainties, from nuclear force studies

Corrections are \sim ($n_{\rm valence}/n_{\rm core}$) in Fermi systems

Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

Nuclear Structure calculations

Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT

Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei

Summary

Neutrinoless Double beta decay



Double beta decay is a second-order process which appears when single- β decay is energetically forbidden or hindered by large ΔJ



Transition	Q_{etaeta} (MeV)	Ab. (%)
$^{48}\text{Ca} ightarrow ^{48}\text{Ti}$	4.274	0.2
$^{76} ext{Ge} ightarrow ^{76} ext{Se}$	2.039	8
$^{82}\text{Se} ightarrow ^{82}\text{Kr}$	2.996	9
96 Zr $ ightarrow$ 96 Mo	3.350	3
$^{100}\text{Mo} ightarrow {}^{100}\text{Ru}$	3.034	10
$^{110}\text{Pd} \rightarrow ^{110}\text{Cd}$	2.013	12
$^{116}\text{Cd} ightarrow ^{116}\text{Sn}$	2.802	7
$^{124}Sn \rightarrow {}^{124}Te$	2.288	6
$^{130}\text{Te} ightarrow ^{130}\text{Xe}$	2.530	34
136 Xe $\rightarrow {}^{136}$ Ba	2.462	9
150 Nd $ ightarrow$ 150 Sm	3.667	6

Only candidates with $Q_{\beta\beta} > 2 \text{ MeV}$ experimentally interesting for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay (very slow process)

Nuclear Matrix Elements (NMEs)



 $0\nu\beta\beta$ process needs massive Majorana neutrinos ($\nu = \bar{\nu}$) \Rightarrow detection would proof Majorana nature of neutrinos

$$\left(T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\left(0^{+}\rightarrow0^{+}\right)\right)^{-1}=G_{01}\left|M^{0\nu\beta\beta}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{m_{\beta\beta}}{m_{e}}\right)^{2}$$

 $M^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ necessary to identify best candidates for experiment and to obtain neutrino masses and hierarchy with $m_{\beta\beta} = |\sum_{k} U_{ek}^2 m_k|$

$$M^{0\nu\beta\beta} = \left\langle \mathbf{0}_{f}^{+} \right| \sum_{n,m} \tau_{n}^{-} \tau_{m}^{-} \sum_{X} H^{X}(r) \Omega^{X} \left| \mathbf{0}_{i}^{+} \right\rangle$$

- Many-body method to describe initial and final nuclear states (ISM)
- Transition operator, appropriate for this decay (chiral EFT)

18 / 29

$M^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ uncertainty: quenching



Major $M^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ uncertainty is g_A (quenched?) value: $M^{0\nu\beta\beta} \propto g_A^2 \Rightarrow \left(T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\right)^{-1} \propto g_A^4$

n K p

$$\mathbf{J}_{n,1B} = g_A \, \sigma_n au_n^-, \quad g_A^{ ext{eff}} = q g_A, \quad q pprox 0.75$$

Theory needs to "quench" Gamow-Teller coupling to reproduce experimental lifetimes and strength functions where the spectroscopy is well reproduced Wildenthal et al. PRC28 1343(1983)

Martínez-Pinedo et al. PRC53 2602(1996) Bender et al. PRC65 054322(2002) Rodríguez et al. PRL105 252503(2010)

This puzzle has been the target of many theoretical efforts: Arima, Rho, Towner, Bertsch and Hamamoto, Wildenthal and Brown... Revisit in the framework of (chiral EFT) currents

Transferred momenta are high in $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay: $p \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$

19 / 29

Contribution of 2b currents



The normal-ordered two-body currents modify GT operator

$$\mathbf{J}_{n,2b}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{g_{A\rho}}{f_{\pi}^2} \tau_n^- \sigma_n \left[\frac{2}{3} c_3 \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m_{\pi}^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} + l(\rho, P) \left(\frac{1}{3} (2c_4 - c_3) + \frac{1}{6m_N} \right) \right],$$



p dependent

long-range

General density range $\rho = 0.10 \dots 0.12 \text{ fm}^{-3}$

Couplings c_3 , c_4 from NN potentials Entem et al. PRC68 041001(2003) Epelbaum et al. NPA747 362(2005) Rentmeester et al. PRC67 044001(2003) $\delta c_3 = -\delta c_4 \approx 1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$

2b currents predict g_A quenching q = 0.85...0.66

Transferred-momentum dependence



The $\sigma\tau^-$ term depends on transferred momentum *p*: $\frac{2}{3}c_3\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{4m^2+\mathbf{p}^2}$



JM, Gazit, Schwenk PRL107 062501 (2011)

Quenching gets weaker at p > 0

Typically $p \sim 100 \text{ MeV} \sim m_{\pi}$ for $0 \nu \beta \beta$ decay $_{^{21/29}}$



Nuclear Matrix Elements for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay



Calculations using state-of-the-art ISM interactions and valence spaces (NATHAN code)

Order $Q^0 + Q^2$ similar to calculations with phenomenological currents JM, Poves, Caurier, Nowacki NPA818 139 (2009)

At order Q^3 2b currents reduce ~ 35% the NME Smaller than -45% ($q^2 = 0.74^2$) due to momentum-transfer p > 0

Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

Nuclear Structure calculations

Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT

Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei

Summary

SUSY, WIMPs and Dark Matter



Supersymmetric particles proposed in extensions of the Standard Model include Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs)



WIMPs are Dark Matter candidates

DM challenge: we know it is there, we don't know of what it consists

Evidence for DM strong but indirect: rotation curves, lensing...

Motivates DM direct searches



Spin-Dependent WIMP scattering off nuclei



Spin-Dependent elastic WIMP scattering off nuclei \Rightarrow odd-A nuclei

The cross-section is governed by the Structure factor S(p)

$$\frac{\mathbf{d}\sigma}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{p}} \propto \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s_i, s_f} \frac{1}{2J_i + 1} \sum_{M_i, M_f} |\langle f | H_{\chi}^{SD} | i \rangle|^2 = G_F^2 \frac{4\pi}{2J_i + 1} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p})$$

 $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{L} \left(\left| \langle J_{f} || \mathcal{E}_{L}(p) || J_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} + \left| \langle J_{f} || \mathcal{L}_{L}(p) || J_{i} \rangle \right|^{2} \right), \text{ Trans. Electric+Longitudinal multipoles}$

$$\mathcal{E}_{L}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{1}{2} \Big[a_{0} + a_{1} \tau_{i}^{3} \Big(1 - 2 \frac{p^{2}}{\Lambda_{A}^{2}} + \delta a_{1} \Big) \Big] \qquad \qquad \delta a_{1} < 0$$

$$\times \left[-\sqrt{L}M_{L,L+1}(\rho \mathbf{r}_i) + \sqrt{L+1}M_{L,L-1}(\rho \mathbf{r}_i)\right] \qquad \qquad \delta a_1^P(\rho) > 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{L}(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{1}{2} \left[a_{0} + a_{1}\tau_{i}^{3} \left(1 + \delta a_{1} - \frac{2g_{\pi\rho n}F_{\pi}\rho^{2}}{2mg_{A}(m_{\pi}^{2} + \rho^{2})} + \delta a_{1}^{P}(\rho) \right) \right] \\ \times \left[\sqrt{L+1} M_{L,L+1}(\rho\mathbf{r}_{i}) + \sqrt{L}M_{L,L-1}(\rho\mathbf{r}_{i}) \right], \text{ with } M_{L,L'}(\rho\mathbf{r}_{i}) = j_{L'}(\rho r_{i}) [Y_{L'}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{i})\sigma_{i}]^{L}.$$

24 / 29

Structure factors with 1b currents





Isoscalar and isovector WIMP-nucleus couplings shape Structure factor $S(p) = a_0^2 S_{00} + a_0 a_1 S_{01} + a_1^2 S_{11}$ In $^{129,131}_{54}$ Xe $\langle S_n \rangle \ll \langle S_p \rangle$ and $S(0) = \frac{(2J+1)(J+1)}{\pi I} \left| \frac{a_0 + a_1}{2} \langle S_p \rangle + \frac{a_0 - a_1}{2} \langle S_n \rangle \right|^2$ 'Neutron'/'Proton' couplings $a_1 = -a_0/a_1 = a_0$ maximize/minimize S: $|S_n \propto |\langle S_n \rangle|^2$ and $S_p \propto |\langle S_p \rangle|^2$

Distinction no longer holds at p > 0: peak in $S_p(u)$, with $u = p^2 b^2/2$

Klos, JM, Gazit, Schwenk, to be submitted

Structure factors with 1b+2b currents



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITAT DARMSTADT

2b currents allow, in the $a_1 = a_0$ case, neutrons to contribute at p = 0 $S(0) \propto \left| \frac{a_0 + a_1(1 + \delta a_1)}{2} \langle S_p \rangle + \frac{a_0 - a_1(1 + \delta a_1)}{2} \langle S_n \rangle \right|^2$ increase dramatically $S_p(u)$

 S_n reduced by 10-30% at $u \sim 1$ due to a_1 2b correction S_n possibly enhanced at $u \gtrsim 3$ due to $a_1^P(p)$ 2b term

Details depend on relative contributions from Trans. Electric/Longitudinal

Application to experiment



Our calculations used by XENON100 Collaboration to set limits on WIMP-nucleus cross-sections (CS)

TECHNISCHE

DARMSTAD

XENON100 obtained world best limits (CS above limit excluded) for Spin-Dependent scattering with 'neutron' couplings

Aprile et al. arXiv:1301.6620



¹⁹F, ²³Na, ²⁷Al, ²⁹Si, ⁷³Ge, ¹²⁷I



Outline



Why testing Fundamental Symmetries with Nuclei?

Nuclear Structure calculations

Derivation of Hadronic Currents: chiral EFT

Nature of Neutrinos and Lepton-number Violation: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

Search for Supersymmetric Dark Matter Particles: WIMP Scattering off Nuclei

Summary

Summary



Nuclei ideal to test Fundamental Symmetries: large masses, long times

Matrix Elements key to design experiments and interpret findings

State-of-the-art nuclear structure calculations using ISM: good spectroscopic description

Chiral EFT provides hadronic currents including 2b currents

Matrix Elements for Neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay for several candidates

- 2b currents reduce (quench) Matrix Elements in 35%
- p-dependence of GT reduction predicted

Structure factors for Spin-Dependent elastic WIMP scattering off nuclei for experimentally relevant nuclei

- Reduce the isovector dominant Structure factor
- Strong increase in sub-dominant Structure factor at low p

29 / 29